
De: Ouvidoria Geral <ouvidoriageral@mj.gov.br>

Enviado: sexta-feira, 24 de abril de 2026 18:51

Para: Ouvidoria - DOUV <DOUV@mj.gov.br>

Assunto: ENC: Office of the Ontario Ombudsman

Ouvidoria-Geral do Ministério da Justiça e Segurança Pública

Esplanada dos Ministérios, Bloco T – Anexo II - Térreo

Tel: (61) 2025-7999

CEP: 70.064-900 - Brasília (DF)

E-mail: ouvidoriageral@mj.gov.br



De: Danillo Gaspar <maildodanillo@gmail.com>

Enviado: sexta-feira, 24 de abril de 2026 00:06

Para: Matthew Min <mmin@ombudsman.on.ca>

Cc: Ronald Montes <rmontes@ombudsman.on.ca>; Yuliya Sanina <ysanina@ombudsman.on.ca>; pdube@ombudsman.on.ca <pdube@ombudsman.on.ca>; info@ombudsman.on.ca <info@ombudsman.on.ca>; Simone Adeken <sadeken@ombudsman.on.ca>; Barbara Finlay <bfinlay@ombudsman.on.ca>; ombudsman@ombudsman.on.ca <ombudsman@ombudsman.on.ca>

Assunto: Fwd: Office of the Ontario Ombudsman

Dear Mr. Min,

Thank you for providing the complaint summary dated April 23, 2026, as **promised** during our telephone conversation of July 7, 2025. I must respectfully identify several factual inaccuracies, record omissions, and outstanding commitments that your office has not yet fulfilled.

1. The promise of individual file numbers remains unfulfilled — ten months later

During our telephone conversation of July 7, 2025, you committed to providing individual file numbers, receipt dates, and disposition outcomes for each complaint I have submitted. That commitment has not been honoured. My follow-up requests of July 8, November 12, March 9, March 16, and March 30, 2026 each reiterated this same administrative request. The April 23, 2026 letter provides only one consolidated file number (414013) covering all matters since 2022 — it does not fulfill the commitment made on July 7, 2025.

For reference, I have filed complaints with or submitted correspondence to the following bodies, each of which I understand to have been received by your office:

- Ombudsman Ontario — Case #414013 and additional unfiled or unacknowledged submissions (**2022–2025**)
- HRTO — Cases #2025-60935-I, #2025-62396-I, #2025-63889-I, #2025-64041-I
- Law Enforcement Complaints Agency (LECA) — Cases #E-202504070948032252, #E-202507222159517905, #E-202508061313578590
- FIPPA / University of Windsor — Cases #3748, #3837, #3838
- Information and Privacy Commissioner — Cases #PA24-00743, #PA25-00318, #PA25-00552, #PA25-00568
- Windsor Police — Reports #113228, #T240098856, #T25001116, #T25006255, #T25006257
- Professional Engineers Ontario — Case #L05 25-68
- RCMP — Case #R2025-006985
- Superior Court of Justice (Family / Hague Convention) — File #FS-25-00025167-0000
- Ontario Court of Justice (Criminal) — File #0811998258110022500

I am requesting that your office provide, for each complaint received or copied, the individual file number assigned, the date received, and the disposition. This is the administrative record your office committed to provide in July 2025.

2. Factual inaccuracy — University follow-up

Your summary states I was encouraged to follow up with the Director of Graduate Academic Services, implying I did not do so. That is factually incorrect, and the record in your own file contradicts it.

On April 2, 2024, Ombudsman Officer Ronald Montes asked me to forward my correspondence with the dean's office. On April 10, 2024, I replied to Mr. Montes forwarding the Dean's December 2023 letter — signed by Dr. Das — which recommended I schedule weekly meetings with my supervisor, Dr. Novak. I also forwarded my thesis submission to Prof. Novak as proof that I had acted on that recommendation.

On May 19, 2024, I sent Mr. Montes a detailed **email attaching my full correspondence here** with Miss Kane of the dean's office, in which I raised:

- (a) discrimination by my supervisor;
- (b) explicit threats made by Dr. Novak ("I will make you my personal case");
- (c) severe financial hardship caused by a 28-month delay in what was advertised as a 16-month program; and
- (d) a formal request for transcriptions of my weekly supervisory meetings so that the threats could be documented and verified.

These emails exist. Mr. Montes received them. I respectfully request that your office confirm this correspondence is present in File No. 414013 before any summary characterizing my follow-up conduct is treated as accurate.

3. Meeting transcriptions — not received

Your summary states that following your inquiry, the supervisor met with me on a weekly basis. Those meetings were recorded. I permitted the University to have the recordings transcribed on the condition that I would receive a copy of the transcriptions. I have never received those transcriptions. Before your office relies on the University's account of what occurred in those meetings, I request that your office follow up with the University regarding the status of those transcriptions and ensure I receive a copy as agreed. I retain the original recordings.

4. Critical omission — my son Isaac

The summary contains no reference to the matter I consider most serious: my infant son Isaac Ferreira Gaspar has been retained in Canada against my will since early 2025. I am the applicant in active Hague Convention proceedings before the Superior Court of Justice (Family), Court File FS-25-00025167-0000, **seeking his return**. This situation is directly connected to the chain of institutional failures originating at the University of Windsor. I raised this with your office on multiple occasions. I request confirmation that it is formally recorded in File No. 414013, and that your office advise whether it has jurisdiction to review any aspect of this matter — or, if not, direct me to the appropriate body.

5. Cumulative context

I am a Brazilian national who has been self-represented across four simultaneous proceedings — criminal, Hague Convention, and two HRTO applications — from São Paulo, Brazil, for over a year. I have no counsel. I have no access to legal aid. My criminal trial is scheduled for June 5, 2026. My total liquid assets across all accounts worldwide are approximately CAD \$524. I have pursued every institutional remedy available to me, as the list above documents. The April 23 summary, while appreciated as a courtesy, does not reflect the full scope of what I have submitted to your office or the severity of my circumstances.

6. Closing observation

It has taken ten months from your commitment of July 7, 2025 to produce a four-page summary that largely records referrals to other bodies. In those same ten months, I have lost my graduate career, my ability to return freely to Canada, my son's daily presence in my life, and any realistic prospect of a fair trial. The letter your office has produced is pale in comparison to the weight of those losses — losses that accumulated while your office was preparing its response.

I am left to wonder what the actual investigative file contains. If the answer to each of my complaints was simply "refer elsewhere," that answer required no investigation and could have been provided in days. That it required ten months suggests either that something more was examined and not disclosed, or that nothing was examined at all. In either case, the people and institutions I complained about have faced no scrutiny, no consequence, and no accountability — while I have faced all three.

I request that your office address each of the points above in writing, and provide the individual file accounting committed to in July 2025, by **April 30, 2026**.

Yours sincerely,

Danillo Galdo Gaspar
Ombudsman File No. 414013
Court File No. 0811998258110022500
FS-25-00025167-0000 (**Hague Convention**)
maildodanillo@gmail.com
+55 11 99404-7050 (Brazil)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Matthew Min** <mmin@ombudsman.on.ca>
Date: Thu, Apr 23, 2026 at 2:53 PM
Subject: Office of the Ontario Ombudsman
To: maildodanillo@gmail.com <maildodanillo@gmail.com>

Good afternoon Danillo,

Please see attached a letter responding to your request to the Office.

Best regards,

Matthew Min

Early Resolution Officer | Agent de règlement préventif

416-586-9973

mmin@ombudsman.on.ca



Office of the Ombudsman of Ontario | Bureau de l'Ombudsman de l'Ontario

416-586-3300 or | ou 1-800-263-1830 - Toll-free (Ontario) | Sans frais (Ontario)

1-866-411-4211 - TTY | ATS

Visit our website | Visitez notre site Web

Subscribe to our newsletter | Abonnez-vous à notre bulletin

Our work takes place on traditional Indigenous territories across the province we now call Ontario, and we are thankful to be able to work and live on this land.

Notre travail s'effectue sur les territoires autochtones traditionnels de la province que nous appelons maintenant l'Ontario, et nous sommes reconnaissant(e)s de pouvoir travailler et vivre sur ces territoires.

This email is intended only for the named recipient(s) and may contain confidential information, protected under the Ombudsman Act. If you receive it by error, please notify the sender and delete this message without delay, and do not use, distribute, copy, or disclose its contents. Ce courriel est uniquement adressé au(x) destinataire(s) nommé(s) et peut contenir de l'information confidentielle, protégée en vertu de la Loi sur l'ombudsman. Si vous le recevez par erreur, veuillez aviser l'expéditeur et supprimer ce message au plus vite, sans utiliser, distribuer, copier ou divulguer son contenu.

--

Danillo Gaspar

On Thu, Apr 2, 2026 at 10:42 AM Matthew Min <mmin@ombudsman.on.ca> wrote:

Good morning Danillo,

Hope this email finds you well.

Thank you for your email.

I apologize about the delay. We are working to prepare a written response, and we will provide the response when we can.

Best regards,

Ontario Ombudsman
50th anniversary logo /
Logo du 50e
anniversaire de
l'Ombudsman de
l'Ontario

Matthew Min

Early Resolution Officer | Agent de règlement préventif

416-586-9973

mmin@ombudsman.on.ca

From: Danillo Gaspar <maildodanillo@gmail.com>

Sent: March 30, 2026 2:02 PM

To: Matthew Min <mmin@ombudsman.on.ca>; Barbara Finlay <bfmfinlay@ombudsman.on.ca>; INFO <info@ombudsman.on.ca>
Cc: Yuliya Sanina <ysanina@ombudsman.on.ca>; Simone Adeken <sadeken@ombudsman.on.ca>; Paul Dubé <pdube@ombudsman.on.ca>; Ronald Montes <rmontes@ombudsman.on.ca>
Subject: Re: Your Submission to the Office of the Ontario Ombudsman

Dear Mr. Min,

I hope this message finds you well. I am writing to follow up on my emails dated March 9, 2026, and March 16, 2026, regarding my request for confirmation of the complaints I previously submitted to your office. To date, I have not received a response.

As noted in my prior correspondence, my request is straightforward: I am seeking only to maintain an accurate administrative record of the complaints I submitted between 2022 and 2025, including the file numbers, dates received, and final dispositions. I am not seeking to reopen any closed matters.

Given that more than six weeks have passed since my initial follow-up, I respectfully ask that your office provide the requested information at your earliest convenience. If there is a standard process for obtaining this type of summary, or if you require any further clarification from me, please let me know.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Danillo Galdo Gaspar

University of Windsor – **Full Credits Expelled Student ID:** 110088955
(Applicant – Choice-less Self-Represented) FS-25-00025167-0000 – **Custody Hague Convention**
(Respondent – Choice-less Self-Represented) Court File No. 0811998258110022500 – **Assault Claim**
Rua Mario Augusto do Carmo 390, Apto 21 – São Paulo/SP – CEP 03227-070
Telephone: BR: (+55) 11-99761-2154 / CA: (+1) 226-961-4199
Email: maildodanillo@gmail.com

On Mon, Mar 16, 2026 at 11:03 AM Danillo Gaspar <maildodanillo@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Min,

I hope this message finds you well.

I am writing to follow up on my correspondence sent on March 9, 2026, regarding my request for confirmation of the complaints I have previously submitted to the Office of the Ontario Ombudsman. To date, I have not received a response.

As I mentioned in my previous email, my goal is simply to maintain an accurate administrative record of my submissions and their outcomes. Given the volume and complexity of the matters I have raised—and the fact that they span multiple years and institutions—having clear and complete records is essential for transparency and accountability.

I kindly request that your office provide the following information at your earliest convenience:

1. The file numbers assigned to each complaint I have submitted;
2. The date each complaint was received;
3. The disposition or status of each complaint (e.g., closed, under review, referred elsewhere);
4. The date each complaint file was closed, if applicable.

For reference, I previously received Case #414013, but I understand that other submissions were made between 2022 and 2025 that may not have been formally acknowledged or separately tracked.

I appreciate the important work your office does in ensuring fairness and accountability in Ontario's public services. I am not seeking to reopen closed matters—only to ensure that my personal records accurately reflect the history and handling of my submissions.

If any part of my request requires clarification, or if there is a standard process for obtaining this type of summary information, please do not hesitate to let me know.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I look forward to your response.

Yours sincerely,

Danillo Galdo Gaspar

University of Windsor – **Student ID:** 110088955
(**Applicant – Choice-less Self-Represented**)
FS-25-00025167-0000 – Custody / Hague Convention
(**Respondent – Choice-less Self-Represented**)
Court File No. 0811998258110022500
Rua Mario Augusto do Carmo 390, Apto 21
São Paulo, SP, Brazil, CEP 03227-070
Telephone: +55-11-99404-7050 | +1-226-961-4199
Email: maildodanillo@gmail.com

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026 at 3:46 PM Danillo Gaspar <maildodanillo@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Min,

Regarding the review of my submissions to the Office of the Ontario Ombudsman.

During our telephone conversation on July 7, 2025, I understood that each of my complaints would be assigned a file number and that I would receive confirmation of the status or disposition of each file. Because my submissions addressed several distinct issues and institutions, I am attempting to maintain an accurate record of how each complaint was processed.

To ensure my records are correct, I respectfully request confirmation of the following:

1. The file numbers assigned to each complaint submitted by me to the Office of the Ontario Ombudsman.
2. The date each complaint was received by your office.
3. The disposition of each complaint (e.g., closed without investigation, referred to another body, under review, etc.).
4. The date on which each complaint file was closed or otherwise concluded.

For reference, one file number previously communicated to me is Case #414013. However, my understanding is that additional submissions were also made between 2022 and 2025.

My intention is simply to maintain a clear administrative record of my submissions and the outcome of each one.

If possible, I would appreciate receiving this clarification within the next few weeks so that my documentation remains accurate.

Thank you for your time and assistance.

Sincerely,

Danillo Galdo Gaspar

University of Windsor - **Student ID:** 110088955

(Applicant - Choice-less Self-Represented)

FS-25-00025167-0000 - Custody

(Respondent - Choice-less Self-Represented)

Court File No. 0811998258110022500. - Assault claim

Rua Mario Augusto do Carmo 390, Apto 21

São Paulo, SP, Brazil, CEP 03227-070

Telephone: +55-11-99404-7050 / +1-226-961-4199

On Wed, Nov 12, 2025 at 8:21 PM Danillo Gaspar <maildodanillo@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Min,

Oh, bravo—after months of radio silence punctuated only by your vague assurances, you've finally graced me with this single, all-encompassing dismissal letter dated November 12, 2025. How thoughtful. But let's cut through the bureaucratic fog: during our July 7, 2025, call, you explicitly promised a detailed letter for *each* of my complaints, complete with file numbers, statuses, and rationales. Instead, what do I get? A lumped-together rejection that conveniently ignores the individuality of my claims, as if they were mere footnotes in your overflowing inbox.

And speaking of delays—over three months to produce this? That's not oversight; that's a masterclass in procrastination. My repeated requests since July 8, 2025, for those separate letters went unanswered, effectively stonewalling me while my life unravels. You even had the audacity to include a complaint against yourself in this tally, for this very negligence, yet here we are with no acknowledgment of that self-inflicted irony. Your "review" doesn't just dismiss my concerns; it mocks them, bundling grave issues like parental alienation, academic sabotage, police inaction, and court evasions into one tidy package of inaction. It's as if the Ombudsman—supposed guardian of fairness—has become the punchline in its own joke.

Ah, the irony of it all—on this very day, November 12, 2025, you finally deign to send me this solitary, dismissive missive after I spent months chasing shadows in your office's bureaucratic labyrinth. But let's be crystal clear: this isn't just another email in a chain of frustrations. This is a record I'll one day share with my grandson, proudly recounting, "This was how your grandfather fought tooth and nail to save your dad from those hypocritical criminals masquerading as public servants." History doesn't forget the righteous; it exposes the charlatans who hide behind titles and delays, thinking their actions vanish into the ether. Oh, how they'll regret this when the truth unfurls—the good guys, the honest warriors like me, always prevail, etched forever as the victors who dismantled systemic hypocrisy.

Your letter? A masterpiece of mockery. During our July 7, 2025, phone call, you promised—explicitly—a detailed letter for *each* of my complaints, including file numbers, statuses, and rationales. Not this lumped-together farce that glosses over the gravity of my filings, treating them like inconvenient footnotes. And the delay? Over three months to cobble together this rejection? That's not mere oversight; that's a deliberate stall tactic, one that ignored my repeated pleas from July 8 onward. You even bundled in a complaint against *yourself* for this very negligence, yet your "review" offers no self-reflection, just more evasion. It's as if the Ombudsman, champion of accountability, has become the court jester in its own circus of incompetence.

This lone document doesn't merely reject my concerns; it ridicules the gravity of institutional collusion that's engineered my illegal incrimination, barred my return to Canada under a fabricated arrest warrant, and perpetuated the parental alienation of my son, Isaac Ferreira Gaspar, wrongfully held there for over 10 months. Why force a child to endure separation from his protective father? Apparently, because these "servants" prioritize punishment over principle. Take Legal Aid Ontario (LAO), for instance: They denied my request for a lawyer in the Family Senior Counsel Program, yet lavished my alien wife—who remains illegally in Canada, flouting immigration laws by working without authorization, driving insurance-less, and exposing our son to high-stakes risks—with both a lawyer and a translator. They couldn't care less about the dangers to my child, overruling all safeguards as if laws are optional. This blatant disparity fuels the alienation, violating his rights and the Hague Convention principles I've invoked since March 2025 through applications with both Canadian and Brazilian Central Authorities.

This single document doesn't just dismiss my concerns; it ridicules them by failing to address the full spectrum of my battles against a web of institutional collusion. For the record—and for posterity—allow me to lay out every single complaint I've lodged, with detailed descriptions drawn from my experiences. These aren't abstract gripes; they're interconnected assaults on my rights as an immigrant father, academic, and human being, designed to silence me, alienate me from my son Isaac Ferreira Gaspar (wrongfully retained in Canada for over 10 months under the pretext of "best interests," when it's really a ploy to punish me), and fabricate criminality to bar my return. Why must a child suffer separation from his protective father? Because these "servants" think they can play gods without consequence. But mark my words: their shame will be recorded, their crimes exposed.

Here's the comprehensive list of all my filings, authorities involved, case numbers, submission dates, and statuses—far more than your letter acknowledges. I've detailed each one to highlight the pattern of perjury, defamation, embezzlement, prevarication, parental alienation, collusion, coercion, and duress I've endured:

1. **Ombudsman Ontario** - Case #414013 (1 of 4, with others missing/unacknowledged) - Submitted June 9, 2022 (likely a record from earlier disputes escalating into 2025) - Status: First Complaint (Ongoing review dismissed). This initial filing addressed systemic failures in public services, including university and police misconduct, which snowballed into my current crises. Your office lumped it with later complaints, ignoring its foundational role in exposing collusion.
2. **Ombudsman Ontario** - Case #N/A - Submission Date: N/A (one of multiple unfiled or ignored follow-ups) - Status: N/A. This pertains to additional escalations regarding court non-responses and evidence withholding, which your letter superficially touches but fails to dissect individually.
3. **Ombudsman Ontario** - Case #N/A - Submission Date: N/A - Status: N/A. Focused on academic sabotage at the University of Windsor, including false accusations of thesis falsification—yet another thread your consolidated dismissal mocks by not providing a standalone rationale.
4. **Ombudsman Ontario** - Case #N/A - Submission Date: N/A - Status: N/A. This covered FIPPA delays and IPC jurisdictional evasions, which your review deflects to other agencies without addressing the Ombudsman's oversight role.
5. **Human Rights Tribunal of Ontario (HRTO)** - Case #2025-60935-I - Submitted 2025 - Status: Ongoing. Against the University of Windsor for discrimination, exploitation of my immigrant status, and collusion in academic and personal disputes, including defamation and coercion tied to my thesis and funding.
6. **HRTO** - Case #2025-62396-I - Submitted 2025 - Status: Ongoing. Further allegations of human rights violations involving parental alienation and institutional bias in family court proceedings.
7. **Office of the Independent Police Review Director (OIPRD, now possibly under OIP/Inspectorate)** - Case #25-313 - Submitted April 29, 2025 - Status: Closed. Complaint against Windsor Police for failing to investigate reported threats and assaults, dismissed without proper review.
8. **OIP (OIPRD?)** - Case #25-312 - Submitted April 29, 2025 - Status: Closed. Similar to above, addressing police inaction on breach of restraining orders, closed prematurely amid ongoing criminal charges fabricated against me.
9. **Law Enforcement Complaints Agency (LECA)** - Case #E-202504070948032252 - Submitted April 7, 2025 - Status: Closed. Regarding Windsor Police's refusal to act on a threat reported from Brazil against a University professor; dismissed citing officer discretion, ignoring misconduct.
10. **LECA** - Case #E-202507222159517905 - Submitted July 22, 2025 - Status: Closed. Follow-up on police mishandling of investigations into assaults and threats, closed without investigation.
11. **LECA** - Case #E-202508061313578590 - Submitted August 6, 2025 - Status: Closed. Challenging the absence of formal endorsements in decisions and requesting reconsideration; dismissed outright.
12. **Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (FIPPA) - University of Windsor** - Case #3748 - Submitted August 4, 2024 - Status: Closed/considered third party access. Request for records on university employment and academic evaluations; denied improperly, citing third-party privacy.
13. **FIPPA - University of Windsor** - Case #3837 - Submitted June 5, 2025 - Status: Ongoing - IPC. Seeking disclosure of documents related to my graduate assistantship and alleged embezzlement; delayed and escalated to IPC.
14. **FIPPA - University of Windsor** - Case #3838 - Submitted June 24, 2025 - Status: Ongoing - IPC. Additional request for privacy breach records; stonewalled amid conflict of interest concerns.
15. **Information and Privacy Commissioner (IPC)** - Case #PA24-00743 - Submitted August 16, 2024 - Status: Closed. Appeal against University FIPPA denials; closed without adequate justification.
16. **IPC** - Case #PA25-00318 - Submitted April 2025 - Status: Closed. Further appeal on access to information; dismissed, perpetuating the cover-up.
17. **IPC** - Case #PA25-00552 - Submitted July 21, 2025 - Status: Ongoing. Challenging FIPPA delays and biases.
18. **IPC** - Case #PA25-00568 - Submitted July 29, 2025 - Status: Ongoing. Additional privacy and access complaints.
19. **Windsor Police** - Case #113228 - Submitted January 11, 2025 - Status: Falsified Against Me. Fabricated charges turned against me, leading to a false arrest warrant.
20. **Windsor Police** - Case #T240098856 - Submitted December 16, 2024 - Status: Rejected. Report of threats and assaults; rejected without investigation.
21. **Windsor Police** - Case #T25001116 - Submitted February 7, 2025 - Status: Rejected. Similar rejection on breach reports.
22. **Windsor Police** - Case #T25006255 - Submitted July 21, 2025 - Status: Rejected. Inaction on reported violations.

23. **Windsor Police** - Case #T25006257 - Submitted July 21, 2025 - Status: Rejected. Duplicate rejection, highlighting pattern.
24. **Superior Court (Custody/Hague Convention)** - Case #FS-25-00025167-0000 - Submitted March 7, 2025 - Status: Ongoing, For My Son. Active application under the Hague Convention for my son's return from wrongful retention in Canada; delays prevent resolution.
25. **Criminal Court** - Case #8.11998E+17 - Submitted 2025 - Status: Ongoing Falsified Against Me. Bogus charges engineered to incriminate me illegally.
26. **Professional Engineers Ontario (PEO)** - Case #L05 25-68 Novak P.Eng. - Submitted July 10, 2025 - Status: Ongoing. Complaint against a professor/engineer for ethical breaches in academic supervision.
27. **Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP)** - Case #R2025-006985 - Submitted September 24, 2025 - Status: Rejected. Federal escalation on police misconduct; dismissed.
28. **HRTO** - Case #2025-63889-I - Submitted October 30, 2025 - Status: Ongoing. Additional human rights claim.
29. **HRTO** - Case #2025-64041-I - Submitted November 10, 2025 - Status: Ongoing. Latest filing against ongoing discriminations.

This exhaustive chronicle reveals the full context your letter conveniently omits—a symphony of rejections and closures orchestrated to make me "disappear," as petitions for my stay in Canada are overruled, and a false warrant looms if I return. All while my Hague Convention case languishes, alienating my son under duress.

In light of this farce, I demand immediate issuance of separate letters for each complaint as promised, including the one against you for this egregious delay. Failure to comply by November 19, 2025, at 5:00 PM EST will leave me no choice but to file a new application with the Human Rights Tribunal of Ontario—directly against the Ombudsman itself—for discrimination, procedural unfairness, and complicity in the systemic barriers I've faced as an immigrant pursuing rightful remedies.

In the spirit of the legacy I'll leave for my grandson, I demand you rectify this mockery immediately: Issue separate, detailed letters for *each* complaint as promised, including the one against you for this three-month delay. Comply by November 19, 2025, at 5:00 PM EST, or I'll file yet another HRTO application—this time squarely against the Ontario Ombudsman for procedural unfairness, discrimination, and complicity in these abuses.

Recall our July 7, 2025, call where you gave me your man's word that you would deliver a detailed letter for each of my complaints, replete with file numbers, statuses, and rationales? Unless your word is worth nothing, or you're not a man, or after all you were lying. —please proceed as you have stated and fulfill that promise.

History will judge, Mr. Min. And it won't be kind to the hypocrites.

Sincerely,

Danillo Gaspar Applicant
A Father Fighting for Isaac
Student ID: 110088955
Rua Mario Augusto do Carmo 390, Apto 21
São Paulo, SP, 03227-070, Brazil
Email: gaspar7@uwindsor.ca | maildodanillo@gmail.com
Phone: +55-11-99404-7050 (Brazil) | +1-226-961-4199 (Canada)
<https://stopuwindsor.wordpress.com>

On Wed, Nov 12, 2025 at 3:30 PM Matthew Min <mmin@ombudsman.on.ca> wrote:

Good afternoon Danillo,

Please find attached a letter outlining a review of your complaint to the Office.

Best regards,

Matthew Min

Early Resolution Officer | Agent de règlement préventif

416-586-9973

mmin@ombudsman.on.ca

Ontario Ombudsman
50th anniversary logo /
Logo du 50e
anniversaire de
l'Ombudsman de
l'Ontario

Office of the Ombudsman of Ontario | Bureau de l'Ombudsman de l'Ontario
416-586-3300 or | ou 1-800-263-1830 - Toll-free (Ontario) | Sans frais (Ontario)
1-866-411-4211 - TTY | ATS
Visit our website | Visitez notre site Web
Subscribe to our newsletter | Abonnez-vous à notre bulletin

Our work takes place on traditional Indigenous territories across the province we now call Ontario, and we are thankful to be able to work and live on this land.

Notre travail s'effectue sur les territoires autochtones traditionnels de la province que nous appelons maintenant l'Ontario, et nous sommes reconnaissant(e)s de pouvoir travailler et vivre sur ces territoires.

This email is intended only for the named recipient(s) and may contain confidential information, protected under the Ombudsman Act. If you receive it by error, please notify the sender and delete this message without delay, and do not use, distribute, copy, or disclose its contents.

Ce courriel est uniquement adressé au(x) destinataire(s) nommé(s) et peut contenir de l'information confidentielle, protégée en vertu de la Loi sur l'ombudsman. Si vous le recevez par erreur, veuillez aviser l'expéditeur et supprimer ce message au plus vite, sans utiliser, distribuer, copier ou divulguer son contenu.

--

Danillo Gaspar

--

Danillo Gaspar

--

Danillo Gaspar

--

Danillo Gaspar

This email is intended only for the named recipient(s) and may contain confidential information, protected under the Ombudsman Act. If you receive it by error, please notify the sender and delete this message without delay, and do not use, distribute, copy, or disclose its contents. Ce courriel est uniquement adressé au(x) destinataire(s) nommé(s) et peut contenir de l'information confidentielle, protégée en vertu de la Loi sur l'ombudsman. Si vous le recevez par erreur, veuillez aviser l'expéditeur et supprimer ce message au plus vite, sans utiliser, distribuer, copier ou divulguer son contenu.

--

Danillo Gaspar

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Danillo Gaspar** <maildodanillo@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Oct 7, 2025 at 11:20 AM

Subject: Fwd: Office of the Ontario Ombudsman

To: Matthew Min <mmin@ombudsman.on.ca>, Dylan McKibbon <Dylan.McKibbon@ipc.on.ca>

Dear Mr. Min,

I hope this message finds you well, though I must confess that the persistent inaction from your office leaves me questioning whether such courtesies are reciprocated in practice.

I am writing yet again to follow up on your email of August 29, 2025, in which you vaguely assured me that a letter outlining my complaints was "being prepared" and would be sent "when it is available." As of October 7, 2025—over a month later—I have received nothing, despite my repeated and formal requests for basic documentation dating back to July 8, 2025.

This pattern of evasion, cloaked in polite ambiguity, is not only insufficient but borders on the absurd for an institution purportedly dedicated to oversight and accountability.

In the broader context of my experiences with Canadian institutions, these delays compel me to confront a troubling reality.

Is there any semblance of truth, discipline, or genuine regimen upholding these entities, or do they operate as a modern facsimile of disorganized tribal systems—perhaps akin to a reimagined version of certain African tribes, where laws are dictated by self-serving actors, institutional safeguards are absent, and citizens are effectively ensnared in a barbaric web of exploitation by shadowy, unaccountable groups who struggle even with basic competencies, such as accurate mathematical reasoning? This defiant posture toward international norms and domestic fairness has become, in my view, the real origin of my academic and personal disputes, compounded by the exploitation of my immigrant status under duress.

The prolonged silence and lack of transparency from an office tasked with resolving such grievances force me to interrogate whether Canadian institutions are truly committed to justice and accountability, or if their culture is inherently defined by the very malpractices I am challenging. My encounters suggest a systemic endorsement of:

- **Perjury**, through the acceptance of misrepresented academic evaluations—do all professors truly hold legitimate diplomas, or is this merely a facade concealing international criminality?
- **Defamation**, via unsubstantiated claims of data falsification—is this a routine tactic to assassinate reputations without consequence?
- **Embezzlement**, related to my graduate assistantship funding—do professors habitually attempt to bribe or manipulate students in this manner?
- **Prevarication**, evident in endless administrative delays—does anyone adhere to timelines and bylaws, or are they treated as optional suggestions?
- **Parental alienation**, in the wrongful retention of my son—overruling international agreements like UN conventions as if they were irrelevant?
- **Collusion**, among university faculty and staff, often involving relatives and the abuse of immigration status?
- **Coercion**, used to silence academic disputes in pursuit of ulterior goals?
- **Duress**, by exploiting my status as an immigrant, as if vulnerability were an invitation for mistreatment.

If this enumeration accurately captures the accepted cultural and administrative **ethos of Canadian society**—where oversight bodies like yours perpetuate rather than rectify such issues—then I see no need for a further response, and your continued silence will speak volumes.

I will interpret it as confirmation of these systemic failings and proceed accordingly, perhaps by publicizing this correspondence to highlight the irony of an ombudsman's office embodying the very obstructions it claims to address.

However, if your office aspires to principles of justice, integrity, and basic professionalism, I demand that you inform me immediately of the exact date by which I will receive the complete and detailed documentation requested since July 8, 2025, including a comprehensive list of all complaint file numbers under my name (Danillo Galdo Gaspar), the status of each file, and thorough rationales for any decisions.

Failure to respond by October 14, 2025, at 5:00 PM EST will compel me to escalate this matter further, including through formal complaints against your handling and notifications to relevant authorities in my ongoing HRTO and Hague Convention proceedings.

<https://stopuwindsor.wordpress.com>

Thank you for what I hope will be your prompt and substantive attention to this matter, lest it become a case study in institutional irony.

Sincerely,

Danillo Galdo Gaspar Student ID: 110088955 Email: maildodanillo@gmail.com Phone: +55-11-99404-7050 / +1-226-961-4199

P.S. I thought I was going to a civilized nation, but Canada is losing points on my index of good civilized places to live.

----- Forwarded message -----
From: **Matthew Min** <mmin@ombudsman.on.ca>
Date: Fri, Aug 29, 2025 at 3:53 PM
Subject: RE: Office of the Ontario Ombudsman
To: Danillo Gaspar <maildodanillo@gmail.com>

Good afternoon Danillo,

Thank you for your email.

We are preparing a letter outlining your complaints and we will send it to you when it is available.

Thank you,

Ontario Ombudsman
50th anniversary logo /
Logo du 50e
anniversaire de
l'Ombudsman de
l'Ontario

Matthew Min
Early Resolution Officer | Agent de règlement préventif

416-586-9973

mmin@ombudsman.on.ca

From: Danillo Gaspar <maildodanillo@gmail.com>
Sent: August 27, 2025 11:14 AM
To: Matthew Min <mmin@ombudsman.on.ca>
Cc: INFO <info@ombudsman.on.ca>; info@iso.on.ca; info@lao.on.ca; info@hfxnews.ca; Barbara Finlay <bfinlay@ombudsman.on.ca>
Subject: Re: Office of the Ontario Ombudsman

Dear Mr. Matthew Min,

I hope this message finds you well. I am writing to follow up on my previous correspondence dated July 8, July 14, August 7, and August 21, 2025, concerning the status of my complaints and the documentation I have repeatedly requested. Regrettably, I have yet to receive any acknowledgment, response, or the promised information from your office.

As you may recall, during our telephone conversation on July 7, 2025, **you assured** me that you would provide a comprehensive list of all complaint file numbers registered under my name, Danillo Galdo Gaspar, including Case #414013, along with detailed rationales for the status or closure of each file. Despite this commitment, no such documentation has been forthcoming, which has led me to **question the reliability of the assurances given**.

In light of the ongoing delays and their impact on my interconnected legal proceedings (HRTO File: 2025-60935-I; Hague Convention Case: FS-25-00025167-0000), I must reiterate my formal requests for the following:

1. **Written confirmation** of receipt of all my prior correspondence.
2. **A complete list** of all complaint file numbers registered under my name, including Case #414013.
3. **Detailed written rationales** for the status or closure of each file, with particular emphasis on any decisions to deem complaints outside the jurisdiction of the Ombudsman's Office.

The absence of a response continues to **hinder** my ability to advance my legal and administrative remedies effectively. I respectfully urge you to address this matter with the utmost priority and provide the requested information without further delay.

Please consider this a formal request for a comprehensive response by 5:00 PM EST on September 3, 2025. Should I not receive the necessary documentation by this deadline, I will be compelled to escalate the issue internally within the **Ombudsman's Office** and pursue external avenues, including notifying the relevant authorities in my **HRTO and Hague Convention** cases.

Thank you for your immediate attention to this pressing concern. I anticipate your prompt and thorough reply.

Sincerely,

Danillo Galdo Gaspar Student ID: 110088955 Email:
maildodanillo@gmail.com Phone: +55-11-99404-7050 / +1-226-961-4199

On Thu, Aug 21, 2025 at 2:18 PM Danillo Gaspar <maildodanillo@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Matthew Min,

I hope this email finds you well. I am writing to respectfully follow up on my previous emails dated July 8, July 14, and August 7, 2025, regarding the status of my complaints and the requested documentation. To date, I have not received a response or acknowledgment of my inquiries.

Given the time-sensitive nature of my interconnected legal proceedings (HRTO File: 2025-60935-I; Hague Convention Case: FS-25-00025167-0000), I kindly request the following information at your earliest convenience:

1. Written confirmation of receipt of my prior correspondence.
2. A complete list of all complaint file numbers registered under my name (Danillo Galdo Gaspar), **including Case #414013**.
3. Detailed written rationales for the status or closure of each file, particularly regarding decisions to deem my complaints outside the jurisdiction of the Ombudsman's Office.

I must emphasize the urgency of this matter, as the **lack of response** is impacting my ability to proceed with my legal and administrative remedies. I trust that your office will address this with the seriousness it deserves.

Please consider this a formal request for a response by [insert deadline, e.g., 5:00 PM EST on August 14, 2025]. If I do not receive a response by this time, I will have no choice but to escalate this matter internally and pursue external remedies, including notifying the HRTO and Hague Convention authorities of this obstruction.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I look forward to your prompt response.

Sincerely,

Danillo Galdo Gaspar
Student ID: 110088955
Email: maildodanillo@gmail.com
Phone: +55-11-99404-7050 / +1-226-961-4199

On Thu, Aug 7, 2025 at 4:14 PM Danillo Gaspar <maildodanillo@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Matthew-Min,

I am writing to formally follow up on my emails dated **July 8, 2025**, and **July 14, 2025**, which reiterated my requests for documentation and expressed serious concerns about procedural fairness in your office's handling of my complaints. To date, I have received **no acknowledgment, confirmation of receipt, or substantive response** to these requests.

Given the **time-sensitive nature** of my interconnected legal proceedings (HRTO File: **2025-60935-I**; Hague Convention Case: **FS-25-00025167-0000**), I must insist on the following by **[insert deadline, e.g., 5:00 PM EST on July 22, 2025]**:

1. **Immediate written confirmation** that my prior correspondence has been received and is under review.
2. **A complete list of all complaint file numbers** registered under my name (Danillo Galdo Gaspar), including Case #414013.
3. **Detailed written rationales** for the status or closure of each file, particularly the decision to deem my complaints outside your jurisdiction.

The continued lack of engagement raises serious concerns about **transparency, impartiality, and compliance with administrative law principles** (e.g., *nemo iudex in causa sua*). If I do not receive a response by the stipulated deadline, I will have no choice but to:

- Escalate this matter internally within the Ombudsman's Office, including filing a formal complaint regarding your conduct.
- Pursue external remedies, including notifying the HRTO and Hague Convention authorities of this obstruction.

I trust you will treat this matter with the urgency it demands. For clarity, I am copying **[optional: add relevant supervisor/ombudsman email if you wish to escalate now]** on this email.

Sincerely,
Danillo Galdo Gaspar
Student ID: 110088955
Email: maildodanillo@gmail.com
Phone: +55-11-99404-7050 / +1-226-961-4199

On Mon, Jul 14, 2025 at 1:07 AM Danillo Gaspar <maildodanillo@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Mathew -Min,

Thank you for your follow-up. I am writing to formally reiterate the requests made in my previous email and to express serious concerns arising from our telephone conversation on July 7, 2025.

During our call, you gave me the distinct impression that there was a preconceived intention to dismiss my complaints. Your line of questioning regarding my FIPPA request to the University of Windsor's Director of Legal Services, Mr. Richard Taylor, was particularly concerning. You questioned on what legal basis I believed Mr. Taylor could not investigate himself.

To be clear, my position is based on the foundational principles of natural justice and procedural fairness, specifically the rule against bias (*nemo iudex in causa sua*). It is a fundamental conflict of interest for an individual or office to adjudicate or be the gatekeeper of information for an investigation into their own conduct. Your apparent unfamiliarity with this core tenet of administrative law raises significant doubts about the impartiality of your assessment.

Given the gravity of this situation and the time-sensitive nature of my interconnected legal proceedings (HRTO File: 2025-60935-I; Hague Convention Case: FS-25-00025167-0000), I require an immediate and formal response to the following:

1. **Comprehensive List of Case Files:** Provide a complete list of all complaint file numbers registered with the Office of the Ontario Ombudsman under my name, Danilo Galdo Gaspar including (case: 414013).
2. **Official Status and Rationale:** For each case file, provide the official status and a formal, detailed written rationale for any and all decisions made, including any decisions to close a file or deem it outside your jurisdiction and original formal complaint filed.

This information is not a casual request; it is essential for my legal record. Any further delay or failure to provide this information will be interpreted as an obstruction of my right to **administrative oversight**.

Please be advised that should I not receive a satisfactory and transparent response clarifying the status of all my cases, and should your handling of this matter continue to appear biased or dismissive, I will be left with no alternative but to explore all available avenues, including filing a formal complaint against you for your management of this file.

I expect a prompt and thorough response.

Sincerely,

Danillo Galdo Gaspar Student ID: 110088955

Email: maildodanillo@gmail.com

Phone: +55-11-99404-7050 / +1-226-961-4199

Image removed by sender. Virus-free.www.avg.com

On Tue, Jul 8, 2025 at 8:36 AM Danilo Galdo Gaspar <maildodanillo@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Matthew Min,

Thank you for our phone conversation yesterday, July 7, 2025, regarding the complaints I have submitted to the Ombudsman's Office. I appreciate you taking the time to discuss my concerns.

During our call, you mentioned the intention to close my case, stating that the Ombudsman's Office could not take further action. While I understand the potential limitations, I must express my concern regarding this decision, especially given the interconnected nature and severity of the issues I have raised, which include:

- The University of Windsor's accountability in employing my wife without legal status in Canada, which I believe contributes to the wrongful restraint of my son.
- The ongoing issues with the Law Enforcement Complaints Agency (LECA) and Inspectorate offices concerning their investigation into the proceedings of my FIPPA requests, which I assert are being illegally postponed and denied by the University Senate.

As I have filed multiple complaints with your office, I would be grateful if you could provide a comprehensive list of *all* complaint file numbers associated with Danilo Galdo Gaspar that have been received and processed by the Office of the Ontario Ombudsman.

Furthermore, for each of these file numbers, could you please provide formal statements or records detailing:

- The specific outcome or status of each case (e.g., closed, referred, no action taken).
- The rationale or findings that led to any decision to close a case or deem it outside the Ombudsman's jurisdiction.

Having these official records is crucial for my personal documentation and for my ongoing legal and administrative proceedings.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this request. I look forward to receiving the requested information.

Sincerely,

Danillo Galdo Gaspar Student ID: 110088955

Email: maildodanillo@gmail.com

Phone: +55-11-99404-7050 (Brazil) / +1-226-961-4199 (Canada)

On Mon, Jul 7, 2025 at 1:06 PM Matthew Min <mmin@ombudsman.on.ca> wrote:

Good afternoon Danilo,

I am writing in response to the complaint you submitted to the Ombudsman's Office.

I would like to discuss this with you over the phone.

I am available the following dates and times: July 7 from 1:00pm to 4:30pm and July 8 from 9:00am to 12:00pm and 1:30pm to 4:30pm.

Please let me know a date and time that works best for you.

Sincerely,

Matthew Min

Early Resolution Officer | Agent de règlement préventif

416-586-9973

mmin@ombudsman.on.ca

Ombudsman Ontario logo
indicating 50 years
alongside an image of a
gryphon / Logo de
l'Ombudsman de l'Ontario
indiquant 50 ans à côté
d'une image d'un griffon

Office of the Ombudsman of Ontario | Bureau de l'Ombudsman de l'Ontario

416-586-3300 or | ou 1-800-263-1830 - Toll-free (Ontario) | Sans frais (Ontario)

1-866-411-4211 - TTY | ATS

www.ombudsman.on.ca

Subscribe to our newsletter | Abonnez-vous à notre bulletin

Our work takes place on traditional Indigenous territories across the province we now call Ontario, and we are thankful to be able to work and live on this land.

Notre travail s'effectue sur les territoires autochtones traditionnels de la province que nous appelons maintenant l'Ontario, et nous sommes reconnaissant(e)s de pouvoir travailler et vivre sur ces territoires.

This email is intended only for the named recipient(s) and may contain confidential information, protected under the Ombudsman Act. If you receive it by error, please notify the sender and delete this message without delay, and do not use, distribute, copy, or disclose its contents.

Ce courriel est uniquement adressé au(x) destinataire(s) nommé(s) et peut contenir de l'information confidentielle, protégée en vertu de la Loi sur l'ombudsman. Si vous le recevez par erreur, veuillez aviser l'expéditeur et supprimer ce message au plus vite, sans utiliser, distribuer, copier ou divulguer son contenu.

--

Danillo Gaspar

Danillo Gaspar

--

Danillo Gaspar

--

Danillo Gaspar

--

Danillo Gaspar

This email is intended only for the named recipient(s) and may contain confidential information, protected under the Ombudsman Act. If you receive it by error, please notify the sender and delete this message without delay, and do not use, distribute, copy, or disclose its contents. Ce courriel est uniquement adressé au(x) destinataire(s) nommé(s) et peut contenir de l'information confidentielle, protégée en vertu de la Loi sur l'ombudsman. Si vous le recevez par erreur, veuillez aviser l'expéditeur et supprimer ce message au plus vite, sans utiliser, distribuer, copier ou divulguer son contenu.

--

Danillo Gaspar

--

Danillo Gaspar

--

Danillo Gaspar

----- Forwarded message -----
From: **Danillo Gaspar** <maildodanillo@gmail.com>
Date: Sun, May 19, 2024 at 4:44 PM
Subject: Re: Your Complaint - Our File No. 414013
To: Ronald Montes <rmontes@ombudsman.on.ca>

**Dear Ron,
the following message was submitted to the whole dean office more than one with the same questioning but they seem to ignore my concerns.**

{

Hello Miss Kane

It seems nobody is reading my messages.

I ask first why I'm being held back, what is wrong with my paper since after the defense no exact mistake was pointed out?

I enrolled in what was communicated as a 16-month program. However, I have now been studying for 28 months, and there appears to be no conclusion in sight according to the faculty's latest assessments.

I urgently need to understand what financial support the university can offer to mitigate these escalating educational expenses.

**As shown below.
image**

I have many times alleged discrimination towards my person and origin, no support is being given to me in that case.

I have proof from my supervisor's lack of responsibility taking months to replying to my emails. And from my former supervisor requesting for personal use of my social media account.

I'm having a mind toll over this matter and feels like university thinks I can be held as "slave"

with no reason or proper sustenance.

I want to know what will be done and exactly what I must do to finish this course, because from the way I'm being replied it seems like this university is just a great scam.

When I enrolled, I was provided with an estimate of the expected expenses; however, the actual costs have far exceeded those initial projections. Consequently, I've taken on a student loan and now find myself stretched beyond my financial limits.

The burden of these unforeseen expenses is considerable. I am at a critical point where, investing further in my education could lead me into severe financial distress. This situation is incredibly stressful and impacts not only my studies but also my overall well-being and my family's.

I urgently need guidance on how to navigate these challenges without jeopardizing my financial future. I appreciate your immediate attention to this matter and look forward to finding a viable solution together.

I'm abroad for medical reasons and plan to come back by June the 3rd. I hope we can postpone our meeting to a further date.

image

image

I am writing to seek clarification regarding the status of my courseware completion. According to my records, I have completed all the required steps for my courses. However, my courseware system shows only 30% completion, with subjects from two years ago still listed as pending or in progress.

Could you please explain why these courses have not been updated in the system? I would appreciate your assistance in resolving this issue as it is essential for tracking my academic progress accurately.

Now I know exactly what is going to happen Dr. Novak will ask to restart from another theme, just like Dr. Kim did and I will not be able to conclude in time. I'm not stupid, I would rather pay a lawyer than another term with this false instructor.

He mentioned that he would make me his "personal case" and noted that he has held students back for more than three years, implying that my situation would not be different. This statement has caused me significant anxiety, and it was following this conversation that I began recording our meetings to ensure transparency.

This remark has left me feeling targeted and uncertain about my academic progress. It suggests a premeditated decision that could unjustly extend my time at the institution, impacting my educational and career goals.

I seek clarification on this matter and assurance that my academic journey will not be unduly prolonged. Your attention to this issue would be greatly appreciated, as it is crucial for my peace of mind and trust in the advising process.

I don't recall meeting you Miss Kane but if you're the one to help me I would like to start from those questions.

I'm getting tired with all this bad joke.

For those trying to help me, my best regards.

Danillo Gaspar

PS. I still want all transcribed documents provided by the secretary in our weekly meetings.}

I'm reaching my limit and the anxiety is being a great despair.

I hope someone can Investigate my case.

Sincerely

Danillo Gaspar

On Wed., Apr. 10, 2024, 14:52 Danillo Gaspar, <maildodanillo@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello Ronald this was the Dean's reply back in December 2023 when I was supposed to finish the course.

{

Hello Danillo,

Thank you for joining the meeting on December 18th. During our discussion about your thesis, we offered what we believe is the best approach for you, which includes:

1. Holding weekly face-to-face meetings with your supervisor, Dr. Novak, for his feedback and advice on your thesis writing and presentation.
2. Consulting with Dr. Novak whenever necessary to expedite your thesis completion.

I am unclear about your change of mind and your decision to send a differing request to Dorothy.

The decision to add an additional supervisor or co-supervisor rests with your current supervisor, in your case, Dr. Novak. This is typically considered when your current supervisory team lacks specific expertise needed for your thesis. Further, adding additional or alternate committee members requires that those individuals are agreeable to join the committee, which is uncertain. Finally, any changes require approval from the relevant authorities, and they are unlikely to grant this request at this stage of your studies without demonstration of exceptional need.

Please be aware that the university is closing today for the holiday break, and I will be unable to discuss this matter further until I return from my travels in January. Therefore, my advice is to follow the plan we outlined above and focus on completing your thesis promptly.

Best of luck with your work.

Regards,

Dr. Das

Sreekanta Das, PhD, PEng (ON, AB), Professor (he/him)

Associate Dean - Research and Graduate Studies

Faculty of Engineering

University of Windsor

401 Sunset Avenue

Windsor

Ontario N9B 3P4

Canada

}

This is the submission for final review

{

Hello professor, Colin.

I'm supposed to send my thesis by the end of this week, saying that below see attached the latest version of all chapters and outline.

I hope this message gets you in a good time as you have said we wish to finish this by the end of this term.

I believe this work could define greater path to mechanical engineering, as well as many other engineers to come.

I hope for the best that this work brings much success and people come to study more at your laboratory in this work could be a masterpiece in the future if more funds and development would be put to working this method one less a thing I would say is this could drive furthermore hard work mechanics such as a high Tonn load pressing with synchronized mechanics the dynamic of this body could provide high stress and critical pressure, as 6 arches instantly crashing wave dynamics with high precision.

The dynamic load like a small motor could drive large crashes, specially if driven at some of the natural frequencies.

God give us grace to understand this as a great gift.

[thesis_state](#)

I'm open for any further questions,

Best regards,

Danillo Gaspar

}

Dr Novak reply some days later, that was his last message since then.

{

Thanks Danillo,

I will give it a read when I get an opportunity.

Regards,

Prof. Colin Novak, Ph.D. P.Eng.

Associate Professor

Faculty of Engineering

Department of Mechanical, Automotive and Materials Engineering

Room 101, CARE Building

University of Windsor

401 Sunset Ave.

Windsor, ON N9B 3P4

Phone: (519)253-3000 x2634

Fax: (800)241-9149

I respectfully acknowledge that the University of Windsor is situated on the traditional territory of the Three Fires Confederacy of First Nations: the Ojibwa, the Odawa, and the Potawatomi.

}

On Wed., Apr. 10, 2024, 13:20 Ronald Montes, <rmontes@ombudsman.on.ca> wrote:

Hi Danillo,

Resending the email. If you have relevant correspondence please forward for my review. Once I am able to meet with the Dean, I will schedule a follow up call with you.

Kind regards,

Ron Montes (he/him)

Early Resolution Officer | Agent de règlement préventif

rmontes@ombudsman.on.ca 416-586-3440

Office of the Ombudsman of Ontario | Bureau de l'Ombudsman de l'Ontario

1-800-263-1830 - Complaints Line | Ligne des plaintes

1-866-411-4211 - TTY | ATS

www.ombudsman.on.ca | Facebook | Twitter

Subscribe to our newsletter | Abonnez-vous à notre bulletin

Our work takes place on traditional Indigenous territories across the province we now call Ontario, and we are thankful to be able to work and live on this land.

Notre travail s'effectue sur les territoires autochtones traditionnels de la province que nous appelons maintenant l'Ontario, et nous sommes reconnaissant(e)s de pouvoir travailler et vivre sur ces territoires.

From: Ronald Montes

Sent: April 2, 2024 11:46 AM

To: maildodanillo@gmail.com

Subject: Your Complaint - Our File No. 414013

Good morning Danillo,

My apologies for the delayed email. Could you please forward me any correspondence from the Dean regarding your matter? I can then make inquiries with them as soon as possible.

If you have any updates, please also let me know and we can schedule a follow up telephone call.

Best regards,

Ron Montes (he/him)

Early Resolution Officer | Agent de règlement préventif

rmontes@ombudsman.on.ca 416-586-3440

Office of the Ombudsman of Ontario | Bureau de l'Ombudsman de l'Ontario

1-800-263-1830 - Complaints Line | Ligne des plaintes

1-866-411-4211 - TTY | ATS

www.ombudsman.on.ca | Facebook | Twitter

Subscribe to our newsletter | Abonnez-vous à notre bulletin

Our work takes place on traditional Indigenous territories across the province we now call Ontario, and we are thankful to be able to work

and live on this land.

Notre travail s'effectue sur les territoires autochtones traditionnels de la province que nous appelons maintenant l'Ontario, et nous sommes reconnaissant(e)s de pouvoir travailler et vivre sur ces territoires.

This email is intended only for the named recipient(s) and may contain confidential information, protected under the Ombudsman Act. If you receive it by error, please notify the sender and delete this message without delay, and do not use, distribute, copy, or disclose its contents. Ce courriel est uniquement adressé au(x) destinataire(s) nommé(s) et peut contenir de l'information confidentielle, protégée en vertu de la Loi sur l'ombudsman. Si vous le recevez par erreur, veuillez aviser l'expéditeur et supprimer ce message au plus vite, sans utiliser, distribuer, copier ou divulguer son contenu.

--

Danillo Gaspar

--

Danillo Gaspar